

A.

We meet this evening, not in sorrow,  
but in gladness of heart. The evacuation of  
Peterburg and Richmond, and the surrender  
of the principal insurgent army, give hope  
of a righteous and speedy peace whose joy-  
ous expression can not be <sup>restrained.</sup> ~~restrained.~~ In  
the midst of this, however, He, from whom  
all blessings flow, must not be forgotten.  
A call for a national thanksgiving is be-  
ing prepared, and will be duly promulga-  
ted— Nor must those whose harder part  
gives us the cause of rejoicing, be over-  
looked. Their honors must not be parcelled  
out with others. I <sup>myself,</sup> was near the front, and  
had the high pleasure of transmitting much  
of the good news to you; but no part of the  
honor, for plan or execution, is mine. To Gen.  
Grant, his skilful officers, and brave men,  
all belongs— The gallant Navy stood ready,  
but was not in reach to take active part.

By these recent successes the re-organiza-  
tion of the national authority—reconstituted

ion - which has had a <sup>large</sup> share of thought from the first, is pressed much more closely upon our attention. It is fraught with great difficulty. Unlike the case of a war between independent nations, there is no authorized organ for us to treat with. No one man has authority to give up the rebellion for any other man. We simply must begin with, and mould from, disorganized and discordant elements. Nor is it a small additional embarrassment that we, the loyal people, differ among ourselves as to the mode, manner, and means of reconstruction.

As a general rule, I abstain from reading the reports of attacks upon myself, wishing not to be provoked by that to which I can not properly offer an answer. In spite of this precaution, however, it comes to my knowledge that I am much censured for some supposed agency in getting up, and sustaining to sustain, the new State Government of Louisiana. In this I have done just so much as, and no more than, the public knows. In the Annual Message of Dec. 1863 and accompanying Proclamation, I presented a plan of re-construction (as the phrase goes) which, I promised, if adopted by any State, <sup>should</sup> ~~would~~ be acceptable to, and sustained by, the Executive Government of the Nation. I distinctly stated that this was not the only plan which might possibly be acceptable; and I also distinctly protested that the Executive claimed no right to say when, or whether members should be admitted to seats in Congress from such States. This plan was, in advance, submitted to the then Cabinet, and distinctly approved by every member of it. One of them

suggested that I should then, and in that connection, apply the Emancipation Proclamation to the theretofore excepted parts of Virginia and Louisiana; that I should drop the suggestion about apprenticeship for freed-people, and that I should omit the protest against my own power, in regard to the admission of members to Congress; but even he approved every part and parcel of the plan which has since been employed or touched by the action of Louisiana. The new Constitution of Louisiana, declaring emancipation for the whole State, practically applies the Proclamation to the part previously excepted. It does not adopt apprenticeship for freed-people; and it is silent, as it could not well be otherwise, about the admission of members to Congress. So that, as it applies to Louisiana, every member of the Cabinet fully approved the plan. The Message went to Congress, and I received many commendations of the plan, written and verbal; and not a single objection to it, from any professed emancipationist, came to my knowledge, until after the

news reached Washington that the people of Louisiana had begun to move in accordance with it. From about July 1862, I had correspondence with different persons, supposed to be interested, seeking a reconstruction of a State government for Louisiana. When the Message of 1863, with the plan before mentioned, reached New Orleans, Gen. Banks wrote me that he was confident the people, with his military co-operation, would reconstruct, substantially on that plan. I wrote him, and some of them to try it; they tried it, and the result is known. Such only has been my agency in getting up the Louisiana government. As to sustaining it, my promise is out, as before stated. But, as bad promises are better broken than kept, I shall treat this as a bad promise, and break it, whenever I shall be convinced that keeping it is adverse to the public interest. But I have not yet been so convinced.

I have been shown a letter on this subject, supposed to be an able one, in which the writer expresses regret that my mind has not seemed to be definitely

fixed on the question whether the seceded states, so called, are in the Union or out of it. It would perhaps, add astonishment to his regret, were he to learn that since I have found professed Union men endeavoring to make that question, I have purposely forbore any public expression upon it. It appears to me that question has not been, nor yet is, a practically material one, and <sup>that</sup> any discussion of it, while it thus remains practically immaterial, could have no effect other than the mischievous one of dividing our friends. As yet, whatever it may hereafter become, that question is bad, as the basis of a controversy, and good for nothing at all — a merely pernicious abstraction.

We all agree that the seceded states, so called, are out of their proper practical relation with the Union, and that the sole object of the government, civil and military, in regard to those states, is to again get them into that proper practical relation. I believe it is not only possible, but in fact, easier to do this, without deciding, or even considering, whether those states have even been out of the Union, than with it. Finding

themselves safely at home, it would be utterly im-  
material whether they had ever been abroad.  
Let us all join in doing the acts necessary to  
restoring the proper practical relations between  
these states and the Union; and each forever  
after, innocently indulges his own opinion whe-  
ther, in doing the acts, he brought the states  
from without, into the Union, or only gave them  
proper assistance, ~~being already in~~ <sup>they never having been out of it</sup>

The amount of constituency, so to to speak,  
on which the new Louisiana government  
rests, would be more satisfactory to all, if  
it contained fifty, thirty, or even twenty thou-  
sand, instead of only about twelve thou-  
sand, as it does. It is also unsatisfactory  
to some that the elective franchise is not  
given to the colored man. I would my-  
self prefer that it were now conferred on  
the very intelligent, and on those who serve  
our cause as soldiers. Still the question  
is not whether the Louisiana government, as  
it stands, is quite all that is desirable. The

question is "Will it be wiser to take it as it is, and help to improve it; or to reject, and disperse it?" "Can Louisiana be brought into proper practical relation with the Union sooner by sustaining, or by dissolving her new State Government?"

Some twelve thousand voters in the heretofore slave state of Louisiana have sworn allegiance to the Union, assumed to be the rightful political power of the State, held elections, organized a State government, adopted a free-state Constitution, giving the benefit of public schools equally to black and white, and empowering the Legislature to confer the election franchise upon the colored man. The Legislature has already voted to ratify the Constitutional amendment recently passed by Congress, abolishing slavery throughout the nation. These twelve thousand persons are thus fully committed to the Union, and to perpetual freedom in

the state — committed to the very things,  
 and needs all the things the nation wants  
 — and they ask the nation's recognition,  
 and its assistance to make good their  
 commitments. Now, if we reject, and  
 spurn them, we do our utmost to disor-  
 ganize and disperse them. We in effect  
 say to the ~~the~~ white men "You are worth-  
 less, or worse — we will neither help you,  
 nor be helped by you!" To the blacks we  
 say, "This cup of liberty which I have, your  
 old master, hold to your lips, we will dash  
 from you, and leave you to the chances  
 of getting the spilled and scattered con-  
 tents in some vague and undefined when,  
 where, and how." If this course, discouraging  
 and paralyzing both white and black,  
 has any tendency to bring Louisiana into  
 proper practical relations with the Union,  
 I have, so far, been unable to perceive  
 it. If, ~~however~~ <sup>on the</sup> contrary, we recognize, and  
 sustain the new government of Louisiana

the converse of all this is made true -  
 We encourage the hearts, and nerve the  
 arms of the twelve thousands to ad-  
 vance to their work, and argue for it, and  
 proselyte for it, and fight for it, and feed  
 it, and grow it, and ripen it to a com-  
 plete success. The colored man too, in  
 seeing all united for him, is inspired with  
 vigilance, and energy, and energy, to the  
 same end. Grant that he desires the  
 elective franchise, will he not attain  
 it sooner by saving the already advanced  
 steps toward it, than by running backward  
 over them? Concede that the new govern-  
 ment of Louisiana is only to what it should  
 be as the egg is to the fowl, we shall  
 sooner have the fowl by hatching the egg  
 than by smashing it! Again, if we reject  
 Louisiana, we also reject our vote in favor  
 of the proposed amendment to the Na-  
 tional Constitution. To meet this pro-  
 position, it has been argued that no.

more than three fourths of the states, which have not attempted secession and necessary to validly ratify the amendment. I do not commit myself against this, further than to say that such a ratification would be questionable, and sure to be persistently questioned; while a ratification by three fourths of all the states would be unquestioned and unquestionable.

I ~~may~~ repeat the question. "Can Louisiana be brought into proper practical relation with the Union ruined by sustaining or by discarding her new State Government?"

What has been said of Louisiana will apply generally to other States. And yet so great peculiarities pertain to each State; and such important and sudden changes occur in the same State; and, withal, so new and unprecedented is the whole case, that no exclusive, and inflexible plan can safely be prescribed as

to details and collaterals. Such exclusive and inflexible plans, would surely become a new entanglement. Important principles may, and must, be inflexible.

In the present "situation" as the phrase goes, it may be my duty to make some new announcement to the people of the South. I am considering, and shall not fail to act, when satisfied that action will be proper.